Wood-bamboo Particleboard: Mechanical Properties
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Mechanical characteristics were evaluated of wood-bamboo-based particleboard having the proportions of 100% wood and 0% bamboo, 75% wood and 25% bamboo, and 50% wood and 50% bamboo. This particleboard used Eucalyptus urophylla × grandis wood, Dendrocalamus asper bamboo, and castor oil-based polyurethane resin. Through destructive testing, the values of perpendicular tensile, static bending, modulus of elasticity, and screw pullout strength in the top and face surfaces were analyzed. For 0%, 25%, and 50% bamboo the values were 1.68 MPa, 1.37 MPa, and 1.4 MPa, respectively, for perpendicular tensile; 15.2 MPa, 17.6 MPa, and 18.5 MPa, respectively, for static bending; 2466 MPa, 2694 MPa, and 2922 MPa, respectively, for modulus of elasticity; 1256 MPa, 1922 MPa, and 1362 MPa, respectively, for screw pullout strength in top; and 1392 MPa, 1342 MPa, and 1414 MPa, respectively, for screw pullout strength in face. These results were superior to those presented by ABNT NBR 14810 (2013) and ANSI 208.1 (1999). After performing a Tukey test at 5%, the values for each treatment did not show a significant difference among them.
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INTRODUCTION

The knowledge regarding physical and mechanical characteristics of materials is extremely important, mainly for the determination of their application and correct use, and also for the design and manufacture of a structural element. Mechanical properties define the material’s behavior during stressful conditions, and the relationship between elastic and plastic deformation, deriving the ratio of its maximum rupture.

Bamboo is a lignocellulosic material with low utilization worldwide with the exception of Asian and Latin-American regions according to Lobovikov et al. (2007) and Murad (2007). In the last few years, bamboo utilization in buildings has been studied and developed for European (Van der Lugt et al. 2006; Stamm 2008; Reynolds et al. 2016) and Brazilian scenarios (Bonilla et al. 2010; Melo et al. 2015; Zaia et al. 2015), revealing a recent world trend of sustainable raw material for construction employing wood.

However, the scientific field has presented a great potentiality for bamboos due to their rapid growth and positive mechanical characteristics. Bamboo has high elasticity and strength, which make it suitable for construction (Bhat et al. 2011), and this lignocellulosic material is a great potential alternative to wood (Gupta and Kumar 2008).
Wood-bamboo particleboard

The study of mechanical properties is important to predict how materials behave under loads, when they are stress tested. These properties also make it possible to forecast how materials will run throughout their lifetime. In a comparison with natural composites, bamboo has high stiffness and strength due to its low density and high mechanical strength (Nurul Fazita et al. 2016). Bamboo particleboards are an economically viable, environmentally friendly, and sustainable alternative for the use of waste from bamboo processing (Zaia et al. 2015). The high consumption of wood can mean a high rate of deforestation worldwide, which can cause negative impacts on the environment. Due to this fact, there is an increasing interest in the production of particleboards from other biomass such as grass, straw, and agricultural residues (Zheng et al. 2007). Research dealing with the mechanical characteristics of these raw materials becomes vital to explore this alternative. In the manufacture of hybrid bamboo veneer waste and rubberwood particleboard, bamboo can be used as an alternative raw material to overcome the growing shortage of wood sources (Nurhazwani et al. 2016).

Nugroho and Ando (2000) studied the mechanical properties of bamboo boards, which revealed superior strength properties compared to commercial products, explained by their high density, resulting in a significant effect on the moduli of elasticity and rupture. To efficiently utilize the agricultural resources as an alternative source for composite panels, Lee et al. (2006) studied the variables to improve physical-mechanical properties of fiber-based on bamboo and bagasse. The study showed promising results for the moduli of elasticity and rupture. Jose and Beraldo (2010) tested the mechanical properties of particleboard made from *Bambusa vulgaris* bamboo and castor oil-based resin, and concluded that these panels achieved good behavior in thickness swelling. However, the mechanical properties of that study were unsatisfactory when compared to a popular structural panel for construction, oriented strand board.

Low-density particleboards generally present a higher sound absorption coefficient than medium-density ones; however, medium-density boards are more effective in insulation than low-density ones. The bamboo particleboards have promise for further development in acoustical purposes for construction, due to their good characteristics within the high-frequency range (Karlinasari et al. 2012).

In this context, this paper mechanically characterizes the wood-bamboo particleboard, presenting values for perpendicular tensile, static bending, modulus of elasticity, and screw pullout strength (of the face and top surfaces), as well as evaluating the bamboo insertion as a secondary material for medium-density particleboards.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

**Materials**

This study involved the utilization of sustainable materials to produce a wood-bamboo particleboard: *Eucalyptus urophylla × Eucalyptus grandis* (eucalypt urograndis) wood species, *Dendrocalamus asper* bamboo species, and castor oil-based polyurethane resin (Plural Química, Cerquilho, Brazil); this two-component adhesive is based on castor oil-based polyol with isocyanate mixed with 4,4’-diphenylmethane diisocyanate.
Methods

Wood and bamboo particle production

_Eucalyptus urophylla x grandis_ wood was collected in small dimensions (Fig. 1a), and these materials were hacked in a chipper. The length and thickness of these wood chips was reduced by milling. Particles smaller than 18 mesh were selected on a vibrating screen. These particles were separated and stored in a stove for 72 h.

Bamboo from _Dendrocalamus asper_ was collected as stems, which were sawn in the fiber-direction with a circular saw. With manual knife-assisted processing, the sawn stems were reduced to chips (Fig. 1b), which were milled and segregated on an 18-mesh vibrating screen. The obtained bamboo particles were dried for 72 h.

![Fig. 1. Raw materials: (a) Eucalyptus urophylla x grandis and (b) Dendrocalamus asper](image)

Wood-bamboo particleboard production

Six particleboards were made with _Eucalyptus urophylla x grandis_ hybrid wood (Placido’s, Itapeva (SP), Brazil) and _Dendrocalamus asper_ bamboo (Betania farm, Itapeva (SP), Brazil), glued with castor oil-based polyurethane resin (Plural Química, Cerquilho (SP), Brazil). Two panels by composition were produced for 100% wood and 0% bamboo, 75% wood and 25% bamboo, and 50% wood and 50% bamboo. Resin was manually mixed for each condition. The proportion for each panel was 90% of particles and 10% of resin. Each compound was individually mixed with a gluing machine for 10 min. Panel formation included a rectilinear surface arrangement. These mats were pressured by a manual and cold mode with metal plates, and after, by a mechanical and hot mode (± 160 °C) with a hydraulic press (PHH, Araraquara (SP), Brazil) at ± 160 °C. The boards were pressed for 180 s with a release (degas) of 30 s, and repeated two times. The process ended with a natural cooling in a conditioned room at 22 °C for 72 h.

Mechanical characteristics of produced wood-bamboo particleboard

Tests of perpendicular tensile, static bending in moduli of elasticity and rupture, and screw pullout strength (in the top and face surfaces) were conducted according to the standard document ABNT NBR 14810-3 (2013), whereas the standard document ANSI 208.1 (1999) was used to comparison parameter for the results of screw pullout strength test. These tests were performed in the Laboratory of Mechanical Properties of Materials at UNESP, Campus of Itapeva, Brazil. In each particleboard type produced, according to the three observed traits, the specimens were extracted to perform these destructive tests, with respect to the prescribed amounts and sizes in the standard documents used. These tests were performed with a universal testing machine (EMIC, Instron Brasil, São José dos Pinhais (PR), Brazil) at 30 tons with speed control.
Perpendicular tensile determination

Ten specimens of 50 mm × 50 mm were extracted from the produced panels for each condition, totaling 30 of them. Specimens were conditioned to 12% of moisture content. Two metal claws to hold the tensile blocks, caliper (0.1 mm of accuracy), resin for MDF, table sander, clamps, and ten pairs of tensile wooden blocks were used in this test. Initially, the specimen surfaces were sanded to homogenize their faces. Specimens were glued together with the pairs of wooden blocks, and then, these sets were held in the clamps for the MDF-resin curing and impregnation (Fig. 2a). Then, through the claws, the specimens were ruptured.

Static bending and modulus of elasticity determinations

Ten rectangular specimens of 50 mm × 50 mm and with the length of 20 times the thickness were extracted from the panels for each condition, totaling 30 specimens; they were conditioned to reach the equilibrium moisture. A cleaver with diameter of 30 mm ± 5 mm and an analytical balance were also used. Thickness measurements were collected, and then, the ends of each specimen were placed on the supports of the universal testing machine (Fig. 2b), to apply the load to the center of each specimen until its rupture.

Screw pullout strength (face and top surfaces) determinations

Twenty specimens of 50 mm × 50 mm were extracted from the produced panels for each studied composition, totaling 60 specimens, half of which were directed to face testing (Fig. 2c) and the other ten specimens to top surface testing (Fig. 2d). Equipment used in this test was: screwdriver, portable drilling, drill (2.7 mm ± 0.1 mm in diameter), rudder to insertion of screws in the specimens, screw for wood (reference number 10), and accessories to be coupled to the specimens on the aforementioned testing machine. For the screw pullout strength test on the face surface, the specimens were drilled (2.7 mm ± 0.1 mm) to a depth of 15 mm ± 0.5 mm, centered on their surfaces. With a screwdriver, the screws were inserted at the drilled depth. For the screw pullout strength test of the top surface, the specimens endured the same process in the top position. The specimens (top and face) were fixed in the testing machine to obtain their results.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The obtained results for the experiments are graphically illustrated in Figs. 3 to 5, which demonstrated, respectively, the analysis of perpendicular tensile, static bending (to moduli of rupture and elasticity), and the screw pullout strength to surface (top and face). These values were subjected to statistical analysis using a Tukey test at a 5%, by the software Sisvar 5.3 (Universidade Federal de Lavras, Lavras (MG), Brazil). Then, in these figures, the similarity among the characters (A, B,…) for each numerical result means that these values are statistically equivalent, according to Tukey test at 5%.

The three conditions considered in Fig. 3 were very close, and there was not a significant difference among them, as determined by a Tukey test. All three treatment values were higher than their prescribed value according to ABNT NBR 14810-3 (2013), i.e., 0.4 MPa for perpendicular tensile of medium-density particleboards. This difference among the obtained values and this normative value were related to the type of adhesive, natural or synthetic origin, which was natural-based for this study: castor oil-based polyurethane. In addition, Schwartz et al. (1968) reported that both the internal adhesion and modulus of rupture of engineered panel composites depend on the resin distribution. Moslemi (1974) and Kollmann et al. (1975) add that the high extractive content in wood can considerably reduce the resistance of internal adhesion, however, it can also provide a relative increase in the moisture. Melo et al. (2015) verified that for the gluing quality of wood-bamboo particleboards was not influenced by the different panel compositions.

![Fig. 3. Results of experimental analysis for perpendicular tensile strength](image)

Melo et al. (2015) studied the physical and mechanical characteristics of the particleboards manufactured with different proportions of Eucalyptus grandis wood and Bambusa vulgaris bamboo (0%, 25%, 50%, 75%, and 100% bamboo addition), based on urea-formaldehyde resin. They verified that the quality of bonding, evaluated by internal bonding or perpendicular tensile strength from ABNT NBR 14810-3 (2013), was not influenced by the different wood-bamboo compositions, both for rupture as elasticity moduli.

Figure 4(a, b) shows that the values for each studied trait were higher than the value
required by ABNT NBR 14810-3 (2013), which is 11 MPa for the static bending of the modulus of rupture. In this scope, there was no significant difference among them, according to the Turkey test. Confirmation by a Tukey test determined there was no significant difference among them. Figure 4(a, b) showed that, in numerical values, there is an increasing trend in strengths according to the bamboo percentage increase, although the results have demonstrated a statistical equivalence.

Cabral et al. (2016) found the mean value of 14.89 MPa for the static bending in modulus of rupture of medium-density particleboards based on Acacia mangium wood. Melo et al. (2015) verified the static bending for different wood and bamboo proportions (0%, 25%, 50%, 75%, and 100%) of lignocellulosic particleboards based on urea-formaldehyde resin. They stated that the panels fully-based on wood and also wood-bamboo panels in 50% of bamboo proportion complied with the requirements of 11 MPa for the modulus of rupture and 1700 MPa for the modulus of elasticity, both as stated by ANSI 208.1 (1999).

The standard ABNT NBR 14810-3 (2013) describes the properties of screw pullout strength (top and face) as a supplementary property for specific uses. The screw pullout strength were studied with the focus on the stimulus for the utilization of this new wood-bamboo particleboard from the furniture industry, justifying the study of these particular properties showed in Fig. 5(a, b). Figure 5(a) shows the values for the screw pullout strength in the top surface for the three observed treatments (0%, 25%, and 50% bamboo). All of them were superior to those prescribed by ANSI 208.1 (1999), i.e., 800 N for screw pullout strength in the top surface. By a Tukey test at 5% significance, these three traits did not indicate a significant difference among them.

When the bamboo was added in the panel, this same raw material contributed to particleboard resistance.

In addition, the difference of treatments, 25% and 50% of bamboo, could relate to
the manual manufacture of studied particleboards in a laboratory scenario as well as the specimen location in each produced particleboard; these tested specimens were randomly collected in each produced particleboard (Fig. 5a). Figure 5(b) shows the values for the screw pullout strength in the face surface for the three observed treatments. These three traits obtained favorable values according to ANSI 208.1 (1999), i.e., 1000 N for screw pullout strength in the top surface. By a Tukey test at 5%, these three traits did not reveal a significant difference among them.

Medium-density particleboard (MDP) is used by manufacturers of residential and commercial furniture, and therefore, the study of screw pullout strength is necessary, whereas this property analyzes the use of screws, which were often used as a connecting element in wooden furniture.

Based on the results, the authors propose future research with other wood-bamboo species and proportions to expand this scenario, and correlations to imply wood-bamboo particleboard use, e.g., sustainable and economic feasibilities.

![Fig. 5. Results of experimental analysis for screw pullout strength to surfaces, (a) top and (b) face](image)

**CONCLUSIONS**

1. The study demonstrated that, for the resin and lignocellulosic materials, the insertion of bamboo in the proportions 25% and 50% promoted values higher than those from 100% wooden panels.

2. Bamboo has high potential for use in the furniture industry, especially to increase the mechanical properties of medium-density particleboards (MDP), which are currently produced only through wooden particles.

3. The bamboo utilization mixed with wood for the particleboard manufacture produced an efficient and natural-based panel, which contributed to the reduction of the timber consumption, valorizing another important renewable lignocellulosic material source.
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