Lower-Temperature Pyrolysis to Prepare Biochar from Agricultural Wastes and Adsorption for Pb$^{2+}$
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Many agricultural activities generate large quantities of biomass wastes. Using these wastes to produce value-added products or energy has become very important in recent years. Heavy metals such as lead are among the most toxic chemical water pollutants from natural or anthropogenic sources. The goals of this work were to prepare three biochars from maize straw (BMS), sunflower straw (BSS), and wheat straw (BWS) under partial limited oxygen condition and to characterize their ability to adsorb Pb$^{2+}$ from water. The sorption kinetics as well as the influence of solution pH and Pb$^{2+}$ concentration was investigated. The three biochars had a good performance for Pb$^{2+}$ adsorption. A greater adsorption efficiency was observed for BMS and BSS than for BWS. The physico-chemical properties of the biochars showed that the adsorption performance was correlated with preparation conditions, raw material types, higher total porosity, and micro-structure.
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INTRODUCTION

Sorption is an effective method for the removal of metals from water. Activated carbon and biochar have been developed for this purpose. Activated carbon often shows superior performance as an adsorbent for heavy metal ions because of its rich pore structure and large specific surface area. However, the activation process is complex and introduces acidic or alkaline salts, which can be regarded as pollutants. Thus, biochar is a promising sorbent due to its environmental friendliness (Tan et al. 2016). Biochar requires a lower investment than conventional activated carbon, which makes it more sustainable. The accumulation of agricultural wastes (AW) and their burning for disposal is a major global environmental problem (Sobati et al. 2016), which has prompted the study of biochar made from agricultural wastes. In general, biochar is produced by pyrolysis under oxygen-limited conditions between 200 °C and 800 °C (Chen et al. 2016), but the major problem holding back the commercialization of biochar is the complexity of the process (Liu et al. 2015). This complexity is mainly related to the necessity of oxygen-limited conditions, which are achieved by evacuating or introducing an inert gas (i.e., N$_2$, Ar.). In addition, the required higher pyrolysis temperature increases the cooling time (Jung et al. 2016).

The aim of this work was to prepare biochar under a partial limited oxygen environment at a lower temperature. In this study, maize straw (MS), sunflower straw (SS), and wheat straw (WS) were employed as the raw materials for biochar production. The biochar produced in a partial limited oxygen environment at lower temperature...
demonstrated good adsorption of Pb\(^{2+}\) from water with different concentrations of Pb\(^{2+}\). The adsorption performance of the biochar derived from maize straw (BMS) and sunflower straw (BSS) was better than that produced from wheat straw (BWS).

**EXPERIMENTAL**

**Materials and Equipment**

Sunflower straw, maize straw, and wheat straw, which are by-products of agricultural crops, were harvested from Yuling, China, dried at 80 °C for 72 h in a blast oven (DHC-9053A, DAOHAN Industrial Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China), and crushed to 1 to 2 mm by a powder machine. The dry solids were sealed in a vacuum bag for carbonization.

Raw materials of about 25 g from sunflower straw, maize straw, and wheat straw were sealed in a crucible (φ90 × 45) and pyrolyzed in a box-type resistance furnace (SX-25-10, Shanghai Boluo Laboratory Equipment Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China) at 300 °C (10 °C/min) for 45 min. The products resulting from sunflower straw, maize straw, and wheat straw were named BSS, BMS, and BWS, respectively; the yield for all samples was more than 30%. After cooling, the BSS, BMS, and BWS were sealed in vacuum bags.

**Methods**

The three types of carbon were characterized by several techniques. The proximate analysis of the samples was conducted by thermogravimetry (TG) coupled with a differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) (STA449F3, NETZSCH, Selbe, Germany), as previously described (Rashidi et al. 2012; Chowdhury et al. 2016a). In the TG analysis, 5 to 10 mg of each powder sample was sealed into a ceramic crucible (Φ8 × 5) and heated under a 5 mL/min N\(_2\) flow at 1300 °C with a heating rate of 10 °C/min. The phase identification of the samples was analyzed by X-ray diffraction (XRD) (X’Pert PRO, Almelo, Holland) (Chand et al. 2008). The morphology of the samples was characterized by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (Zeiss EVO18, Oberkochen, Germany) (Chand et al. 2009).

The Water Absorption Performance (WAP) is also referred to as the water holding capacity. According to EBC guidelines (DIN ISO 14238-2011), 5~10 g of the three biochars were immersed in water for 24 h, and then the three samples were placed on a sand-bed heaped by napkins for 2 h to remove excess water, respectively. Then, the saturated samples were weighed, dried at 40 °C, and then weighed again.

Surface functional groups were identified by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) analysis (Nicolet iS-10, Thermo Fisher Scientific). The samples were dried and crushed with KBr. The sample mixed with KBr was pressed to form transparent sheets. Spectra were measured in the range between 400 cm\(^{-1}\) and 4000 cm\(^{-1}\). Basic properties (apparent density, total porosity analysis, etc.) of three biochars were measured by high precision density tester (LH–120YE, Xiamen Qunlong Instrument Co., Ltd, Xiamen, China). The distilled water was added to the density meter sink up to the mark. From 5 to 10 g of the biochar was put into a weighing pan and the weight was recorded. Then the material was immersed in water and kept immersed for about 3 s. Then the samples was taken out, wiped off, and placed into the weighing pan again. Finally, the result was read from the instrument.
The surface area and pore sizes in the pyrolyzed biochar were determined by the multipoint N₂ adsorption-desorption method at -196.15 °C using a surface area and pore size analyzer (JW-BK132F, Beijing Micro High-Bo Ltd., Beijing, China) (Li et al. 2013). The adsorption for Pb²⁺ in the pyrolyzed biochar was measured by atomic absorption spectrometer (SOLAAR M6, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) (Li et al. 2013). Kinetic adsorption and the effect of initial pH on the adsorption of Pb²⁺ on biochar were included in adsorption experiments. For kinetic adsorption, Pb²⁺ stock solution (400 mg•L⁻¹), using 0.01 mol•L⁻¹ NaNO₃ as background electrolyte, was prepared by dissolving Pb(NO₃)₂ in deionized water. The pH of the Pb²⁺ solutions was adjusted to 5.0±0.2 by adding 0.1 mol•L⁻¹ HNO₃ and NaOH solutions. The sorption equilibrium was attained by shaking 0.1 g of biochar in 20 mL of working solution at 180 rpm in a shaker at 25±1°C for 5, 15, 30, and 60 min and 2, 4, 8, and 12 h, respectively. Concentrations of Pb²⁺ were measured after the adsorption, the solution was filtered through a 0.45μm syringe filter, and diluted with 1% HNO₃. The Lagergren pseudo-first-order kinetic model, pseudo-second-order kinetic model and intraparticle diffusion model were used to fit the kinetic adsorption results. The formulas employed are given in Eqs. 2, 3, and 4.

\[
\begin{align*}
\lg(Q_e - Q_t) &= \lg Q_e - k_1 t / 2.303 \\
\frac{t}{Q_t} &= \frac{1}{k_2 Q_e^2} + \frac{t}{Q_t} \\
Q_t &= k_p t^{0.5} + C
\end{align*}
\]

where \( k_1 \) (h⁻¹), \( k_2 \) (mg g⁻¹h⁻¹), and \( k_p \) (mg g⁻¹h⁻₀.⁵) are quasi-first-order, quasi-second-order rate constants, and intraparticle diffusion coefficients, respectively, \( t \) is reaction time (h), \( Q_t \) and \( Q_e \) are the adsorption amount corresponding to time \( t \) and the adsorption amount when adsorbed (mg g⁻¹), respectively. For the effect of the initial pH value of the solution on the adsorption of Pb²⁺ by biochar, Pb²⁺ stock solutions (400 mg•L⁻¹) was prepared by dissolving Pb(NO₃)₂ in deionized water. The pH of the Pb²⁺ solutions was adjusted to 2.5, 3.5, 4.5, and 5.5 by adding 0.1 mol•L⁻¹ HNO₃ and NaOH solutions. The sorption equilibrium was attained by shaking 0.1 g of biochar in 20 mL of Pb²⁺ solutions with pH2.5, 3.5, 4.5, and 5.5 respectively at 180 rpm in a shaker at 25±1°C for 24 h. Concentrations of Pb²⁺ were measured after the adsorption, the solution was filtered through a 0.45μm syringe filter, and diluted with 1% HNO₃ (Wang and Liu 2017; Zama et al. 2017).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

TG Analysis

TG curves were utilized to analyze the mass loss of raw materials with temperature. The TG and DTG curves are shown in Figs. 1a, b, and c. The process was divided into three stages. The initial stage of the TG graph shows the moisture and volatile substance of the three types of carbons in sunflower straw, maize straw, and wheat straw. The moisture content reflects the water retained by physical bonds only, and intrinsic and extrinsic moisture are the two basic kinds of moisture available. The extrinsic moisture is affected by the weather conditions, yet the intrinsic moisture is the moisture content of the material itself (Cox et al. 2002). The second stage of the curves included the loss of the volatile substances and organics. The biomass composition (i.e., cellulose, hemicelluloses,
and lignin) were reduced in this stage. While hemicelluloses and cellulose start to degrade at 220 °C and 300 °C, respectively, lignin degrades gradually in a wider temperature range (Pazó et al. 2010). Manahim et al. (2011) reported that the temperature ranges for the degradation of hemicellulose, cellulose, and lignin are 250 °C to 320 °C, 320 °C to 380 °C, and room temperature to 900 °C, respectively. The third stage of the curves represents a slow decline to a stable char residual composition. The fixed carbon is calculated from the flat mass region of TG curve after reaching equilibrium temperature. However, the yield of biochar under different temperature was calculated using Eq. 1,

\[ Q = \frac{m_2}{m_1} \times 100\% \]  

(1)

where \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \) are the mass (g) of sunflower straw, maize straw, and wheat straw before and after carbonization, respectively, and \( Q \) is the biochar yield of sunflower straw, maize straw, and wheat straw (%).

![Fig. 1. Proximate analysis of the biochars from (a) maize straw (MS), (b) sunflower straw (SS), and (c) wheat straw (WS); (d) differential scanning calorimetry of MS, SS, and WS](image)

The biochars from the sunflower straw, maize straw, and wheat straw had pronounced effects on the development of the volatile substances, ash, and formed biochar, as shown in Figs. 1a, b, and c. When the temperature increased to 100 °C, there were noticeable weight losses. As the temperature reached 200 °C, the biochar contents were expected to increase and the volatile matters to decrease due to pyrolysis. However, a large area of decomposition occurred between 280 °C and 300 °C. When the temperature was
increased further, the volatile substances were gradually released as gases. The weight remained stable after 700 °C, which indicated that the volatile substances and organics were removed (Chowdhury et al. 2016b). These results coincide with those reported by Lua and Guo (1998), who proposed that the proximate analysis of the activated carbon could be determined by the nature of the feedstock and operating conditions. In general, the results acquired in this study were comparable with previous reports.

**X-Ray Diffraction Analysis**

A comparison of XRD patterns between the biochars and raw materials from sunflower straw, maize straw, and wheat straw is shown in Fig. 2. The XRD patterns of sunflower straw, maize straw, and wheat straw before pyrolysis exhibited two more significant peaks at around 2θ = 16° and 22°, which mainly were related to the crystalline structure of cellulose in raw materials (Keiluweit et al., 2010). For the three biochars (BMS, BSS, and BWS) prepared at 300°C, the peak near at 2θ = 16° disappeared, and the peak around 22° showed a broadening tendency, which indicated degradation of cellulose components (Liou and Wu 2009). The XRD patterns of BMS, BSS, and BWS exhibited a significant peak near at 2θ = 28.3°, being mainly a signal peak of CaCO₃, which indicated that the three biochars contained high ash content. In addition, there was a significant peak near at 2θ = 40.5°, which was signal peak potassium salt (Kim et al. 2012). In other words, the three feedstocks of maize straw, wheat straw, and sunflower straw might have undergone a similar transformation process in pyrolysis.

![Fig. 2. The comparison of XRD patterns between the biochars and raw materials](image)

**Surface Morphologies**

The SEM images of the samples in Fig. 3 show the differences in surface morphology. The biochar surfaces contained pores. There were more pores or cracks in the BMS, which had a larger surface area because of high porosity. Therefore, the raw materials were the main reason of the difference in the surface area and micropore area of biochars. The results are consistent with the porosity analysis in Table 1.
Fig. 3. Surface morphologies of the biochars from (a) maize straw (BMS), (b) sunflower straw (BSS), and (c) wheat straw (BWS)

Table 1. Basic Properties of Three Biochars

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biochar</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
<th>Bulk Specific Gravity (g/cm³)</th>
<th>Apparent Density (g/cm³)</th>
<th>Apparent Porosity (%)</th>
<th>Water Absorption Rate (%)</th>
<th>Total Porosity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMS</td>
<td>47.76</td>
<td>0.2181</td>
<td>0.259</td>
<td>15.62</td>
<td>71.43</td>
<td>92.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSS</td>
<td>48.97</td>
<td>0.2210</td>
<td>0.230</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>17.02</td>
<td>92.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BWS</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.2322</td>
<td>0.245</td>
<td>4.79</td>
<td>20.59</td>
<td>92.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy

To determine the change of functional groups, the FTIR spectra of sunflower straw, maize straw, and wheat straw before and after pyrolysis are shown in Fig. 4. The main absorption peaks of samples appeared in the wavenumber region between 500 cm⁻¹ and 2000 cm⁻¹. Generally, the C=O stretching vibration in the carboxyl group appeared at 1706 cm⁻¹. The characteristic peak interval of benzene ring or aromatic was at 1450 cm⁻¹ to 1610 cm⁻¹. The absorption peaks that are representatives of C=O stretching vibrations, C=C stretching, and the -OH out-of-plane bending vibration of phenols, ethers, and alcohols appeared at 1102 cm⁻¹ to 1252 cm⁻¹. The absorption peak of the aromatic compound C-H was at 793 cm⁻¹. Compared to raw materials, the absorption peaks of biochars were reduced clearly at 1102 cm⁻¹ to 1252 cm⁻¹ after pyrolysis. This result indicated that the samples with different feedstocks before and after pyrolysis had hydroxyl, aromatic, and some oxygen-containing functional groups, but the absorption intensity was somewhat different. And the reason of the absorption peaks being reduced clearly at 1102 cm⁻¹ to 1252 cm⁻¹ after pyrolysis was
mainly that the -OH functional groups of phenols, ethers, and alcohols of raw materials disappeared with temperature increasing. The results were similar to other biochars (Sardella et al. 2015).

![FTIR spectra of the biochars from sunflower straw, maize straw, and wheat straw](image)

**Fig. 4.** FTIR spectra of the biochars from sunflower straw, maize straw, and wheat straw

### The Surface Area and Pore Size Analysis

The specific surface area and total pore volume of the BMS, BSS, and BWS are shown in Table 2. The specific surface area and total pore volume of the three biochars were all lower. BSS had the highest values of specific surface area and total pore volume at 5.61552 m²/g and 0.00908 m³·g⁻¹, respectively. Compared to active carbon of better absorbent, the specific surface area and total pore volume of the three biochars were much lower. Generally, the specific surface area and total pore volume are main indicators to measure the adsorption performance of materials. However, these results showed that the specific surface area and total pore volume had little influence on adsorption performance of the three samples (Yang et al. 2015).

**Table 2.** Specific Surface Area, Total Pore Volume, and Average Pore Size of Three Biochars

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>BMS</th>
<th>BSS</th>
<th>BWS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Specific surface area/m²·g⁻¹</td>
<td>4.15224</td>
<td>5.61552</td>
<td>2.48631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total pore volume/m³·g⁻¹</td>
<td>0.00682</td>
<td>0.00908</td>
<td>0.00394</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### The Adsorption Performance for Pb²⁺

Figure 5a presents the effect of contact time on Pb²⁺ adsorption by BMS, BSS, and BWS. The adsorption of Pb²⁺ by three biochars all reached equilibrium adsorption by around 8 h. The intraparticle diffusion model was used to fit the adsorption results. The
results showed that BMS and BSS had better fitting effect for Pb$^{2+}$ adsorption, which the value of R$^2$ were 0.93236 and 0.92118, respectively.

The pH is one of the most important environmental factors influencing the dissociation of surface functional groups and the solution chemistry of metals (Sardella et al. 2015). Figure 5b presents the effect of pH on the removal of Pb$^{2+}$ by BMS, BSS, and BWS. The amount adsorbed increased sharply with increasing pH, reaching the equilibrium quantities at pH 5.0 for Pb$^{2+}$. The values were similar for all adsorbents studied in this work and are comparable to those found in the literature (Li et al. 2014; Li et al. 2015).

Taking into account these results, kinetic adsorption assays for Pb$^{2+}$ were developed by adjusting the suspension initial pH to over 5.5 (Bogusz et al. 2015). Figure 5b shows the kinetic curves obtained for Pb$^{2+}$ adsorption assays using BMS, BSS, and BWS. In all cases the maximum removal amount was achieved when the initial concentration of Pb$^{2+}$ was 80 mg/L, as shown in Fig. 5c. Figure 5c shows that BMS had a better performance in Pb$^{2+}$ adsorption than the other two biochars. In this case, BSS and BWS showed an improved performance in Pb$^{2+}$ adsorption, reaching 94.83% and 80.98% of metal removal, respectively. Compared to the study by Tekin et al. (2016), the three biochars had much lower specific surface areas and total pore volume, but the adsorption to Pb$^{2+}$ was as good as the cited study. It is apparent that the porous structure had some influence on metal retention. The difference in adsorption performance of biochars were mainly caused by surface chemical groups of biochars (Sardella et al. 2015).

**Fig. 5.** The effect of biochars from (a) contact time on Pb$^{2+}$ adsorption, (b) pH influence on Pb$^{2+}$ adsorption, and (c) Pb$^{2+}$ adsorption isotherms
CONCLUSIONS

1. The surface area and micropore area of biochars were mainly determined by the raw materials.

2. A biochar with the highest total porosity of 92.8% and the maximum removal of Pb\(^{2+}\) adsorption of 98.3% (78.6 mg/g) was produced from maize straw at the temperature of 300 °C under partial limited oxygen condition.

3. The low-temperature pyrolysis and the partial limited oxygen condition process that was used to carbonize sunflower straw, maize straw, and wheat straw was feasible and beneficial to the recycling of agricultural wastes, which simplified the preparation of carbon adsorbents.
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